Inter-comparison of surface current in situ measurements in the Tropical Atlantic Ocean

Institut de Recherche pour le Développement FRANCE

G. Herbert¹, J. Habasque², G. Reverdin³, B. Bourlès⁴

Autres logos

1- IFREMER, LOPS, Brest, France

2- LEMAR, University of Brest, Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, IUEM, 29200 Brest, France

3- LOCEAN, Sorbonne University (UPMC, Univ Paris 06)-CNRS-IRD-MNHN, Paris, France 4- IRD, LEGOS, Université de Toulouse, IRD, CNES, CNRS, UPS, Toulouse, France

The objective of this study was to compare the surface current measurements made by various sensors in the Tropical Atlantic. The spatial-temporal distribution of data available in the area was analyzed to specify the most appropriate study periods. The average seasonal cycle, spatial and temporal variability of currents, as well as seasonal variability were highlighted. Finally, these data were qualified through intercomparisons to show the complementarity of datasets and their shortcomings.

DATA

Sensors	Database	Time series	Depth range
PIRATA cruises S-ADCP	SISMER	2001-2017	15-300m
ARGO floats	Andro database (Ollitrault and Rannou, 2013)	08/1997 – 10/2016	Surface
Drifters	GlobCurrent (Rio and Etienne, 2017)	1985 - 2016	15m
PIRATA ADCP mooring 23°W 0°N	IRD	2001-2016	15-300m

ARGO floats coverage at surface

 $20^{\circ}W$

 $40^{\circ}W$

 $60^{\circ}W$

Large number of ARGO floats between 6°-7°N Very few in the South and Southeastern parts

of the basin

20[°]E



2005-2017 | 10;12;20m

Table 1. Sensors, database, time series and depth range used for the study



RESULTS

Seasonal cycle

From ADCP mooring, ARGO floats, drifters, and buoy currentmeter, at 23°W- 0°N



From ARGO floats over 1°S-1°N equatorial band



S-ADCP vs ARGO

SADCP data bring important information about the instantaneous circulation along the cruise tracks but the comparison with ARGO floats data is not relevant due to the time variability of the current and the ARGO data sampling.





Fig. 5. Current values were obtained after a regridding of data over a 1°x1° box and then monthly averaged and over the period i) from 08/1997 to 09/2016 for « ARGOj » (DAC Coriolis + AOML ; choice of the closest point to the mooring after regridding); ii) from 08/1997 to 10/2016 for « ARGO» (DAC Coriolis only, so less data from 2010); ii) from 01/1997 to 01/2016 from « Drifter ». The current values for the mooring and the buoy currentmeters are monthly means over the period i) from 12/2001 to 09/2016 for the ADCP mooring; ii) from 05/2005 to 11/2017 for the buoy currentmeter at 10m and 12m.

Fig. 6. Longitude-Time diagram of mean monthly zonal surface current (cm/s) estimated from ARGO floats over 1°S-1°N equatorial band.

- Relatively good agreement between current measurements from ARGO (surface), ADCP mooring (15m) and buoy currentmeter (10m), with greater gap (up to 0.25m/s between ARGO and buoy currentmeter at 10m) from January to March (Fig.5)
- Westward current maximum in boreal summer and December – January
- Eastward current in April and September-October (Fig.6)



Fig. 7. PIRATA FR26 S-ADCP track and ARGO surface displacements

Surface current correlation

Good correlation (>=0.7) between current measurement from ADCP mooring at 15m and from current meter at 10m from April to December. Minimum found in Jan.-Mar.



Fig. 9. Monthly correlation between zonal, meridional current and speed measured by ADCP mooring at 15m and current meter at 10m,

 At seasonal time scale, the drifter and ARGO data allow to describe the vertical current shear between the surface and 15m depth. Fig. 8. PIRATA FR26 10°W section. Left: mean zonal current (cm/s) estimated by ARGO drifters at the surface. Right: mean zonal current measured by S-ADCP 150 kHz at 15m.

Surface current shear



54°W 36°W 18°W 0° 18°E Longitude

Fig. 10. Mean zonal current difference by 1°*1° cell between current estimated by drifting buoys at 15m and ARGO profilers at the surface, for June (up) and September (bottom)

CONCLUSIONS

The spatial distribution of Argo and drifters data reveals a crucial lack of data in the south-eastern region. Drifters data are also missing in the equatorial region. Due to different spatial and temporal coverages according to data sets, biases appear when comparing the different types of data owing to the high temporal and spatial variability of currents. The complementarity between S-ADCP and ARGO data is more difficult to evaluate as the S-ADCP measurements are instantaneous. However, the existing observations allow a good description of the mean seasonal cycle of the zonal surface currents with a relatively good agreement between the different datasets except in January-March, when minimum correlations are found. ARGO and drifters data also allow to describe surface current shear in the basin.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Habasque J,, Herbert G, (2018). Inter-comparaison des mesures de courant dans l'Atlantique Tropical. Rapport Coriolis. http://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00440/55134/
Ollitrault, M., and Rannou, J.P. (2013). ANDRO: An Argo-Based Deep Displacement Dataset. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology *30*, 759–788.
Rio M-H, Etienne H., 2017. Global Ocean delayed mode in-situ observations of ocean surface currents. SEANOE: http://doi.ord/10.17882/41334

This work was supported by CORIOLIS and ... We are grateful to Jérôme Gourion, Tanguy Szekely, and Nicolas Kolodziejczyk.